## The cyclic Bruhat decomposition of flag manifolds

## Allen Knutson (Cornell)

Joint Meetings, Atlanta, January 2017

## Abstract

If we intersect the $n$ cyclic translates of the Bruhat decomposition of the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathrm{k}, n)$, we get the celebrated positroid stratification studied by Lusztig, Postnikov, Williams, Rietsch, Knutson-Lam-Speyer... It is also the stratification by projected Richardson varieties [KLS], and its most natural flag manifold version is just the Richardson varieties $\left\{X_{\sigma}^{\pi}\right\}$.

Nonetheless, I'll look at the intersection of the $n$ cyclic translates of the Bruhat decomposition, and index the strata with "cyclic flag pipe dreams". Alas: unlike on $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, these strata can be empty, or of bad dimension.

They are determined by $\left(\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{k} \cap \mathbb{C}^{[i, j]}\right)\right)$ where $[i, j]$ varies over cyclic intervals; unfortunately their closures are not given by inequalities on those dimensions, and (relatedly) this decomposition is not a stratification. Taking $[i, j]$ only from (non-cyclic) intervals, as was useful for Schubert calculus [K], I do get $\neq \emptyset$ ness, smoothness, irreducibility, and dimension.

These transparencies are available at http://math. cornell.edu/~allenk/

## Arrays of dimension jumps, with pipes.

Identify $F \ell(n):=B_{-} \backslash G L(n)$, using $F_{k}:=$ span of top $k$ rows of $M \in G L(n)$. For each $i \leq j \leq i+n$, consider columns $[i, j] \bmod n$ of $M$, and record
$\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{ij}}:=\{\mathrm{k} \in[\mathrm{n}]: \operatorname{rank}($ top $k$ rows in cols $[i, j])>\operatorname{rank}($ top $k-1$ rows in cols $[i, j])\}$.
e.g. $M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & a & b & 0 \\ 0 & a & b & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & *\end{array}\right) \quad \mapsto$


Theorem. $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ increases by one element as you go North or East, i.e. $\left\{(i, j): J_{i j} \ni k\right\}$ is an order ideal above some $k$-pipe.

## Tiles for CF pipe dreams (CF = "cyclic flag").

We can therefore think of these CF pipe dreams as being built out of tiles:



It's easy to show the elbows tile (the third type) doesn't occur with $a>b$.
The pipe labels on the vertical edges are weakly increasing in each row of $\mathcal{J}$ : $\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{a}, \quad \mathrm{b} \leq \mathrm{b}, \quad \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{b} \quad$ in the three tiles.
For $\mathcal{J}$ an $\mathfrak{n}$-periodic assemblage of these tiles into a CF pipe dream, let $\mathrm{X}(\mathcal{J})^{\circ} \subseteq \mathrm{Fl}(\mathrm{n})$ denote the corresponding locally closed subset of $\mathrm{Fl}(\mathrm{n})$.
Based on the example of $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, I was moved to
Conjecture. $\mathrm{X}(\mathcal{J})^{\circ}$ is smooth and irreducible, with codimension given by the number of horizontal tiles, of the left type.
(Which equals the number of vertical tiles, by the Jordan curve theorem.)
But this turns out to be false, much like most conjectures about matroid strata!

## Counterexamples: an empty stratum, and stratification failure.



The 2, 1, 2, 1 down the $i=j$ diagonal tell us $a=c=0, b, d \neq 0$.
The 124 at $[1,3]$ says that $\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ e & * & f \\ g & * & h\end{array}\right]=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & b & 0 \\ e & * & f \\ g & * & h\end{array}\right]=b(e h-f g)=0$.
But the 123 at $[3,5]$ says that $\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}c & d & a \\ f & * & e \\ h & * & g\end{array}\right]=d(f g-e h) \neq 0$. So there are none.
The same phenomenon, $b \neq 0 \Longrightarrow e h-f g=0$, leads to a stratum whose closure is not a union of strata.

Moral: When an inequality helps prove an equality, watch out!

## A flag of positroids.

The data defining $\mathcal{J}$ tells us which positroid stratum each $k$-plane $F_{k}$ is in, i.e. we get an $\mathfrak{n}$-tuple of bounded affine permutations.

In this interpretation, the k-pipe says which dots move when going from the $k$-ball affine permutation to the $(k+1)$-ball affine permutation.


Question. For which $\mathcal{J}$ is $X(\mathcal{J})$ determined as a set by intersecting the flag manifold with the preimages of those positroid varieties?

## Partial flag manifolds, and the loop amplituhedron.

The same recipes work on $\operatorname{Fl}\left(n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots<n_{m}=n\right)$, except now we have $n_{i}-n_{i-1}$ many $n_{i}$-pipes, and they mustn't cross each other.
In the case $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, in row $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the pipe labels on the $n$ vertical edges go $k, k, \ldots, k, n, n, \ldots, n$. If we let $\pi(i):=i+\# k s$ in that row, we get the corresponding bounded affine permutation defining the positroid stratum.
The $\ell$-loop amplituhedron $\mathcal{A}_{k, \ell}$ is the $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)^{\ell}$-bundle over $\operatorname{Gr}(k, k+4)$.
So $\mathcal{A}_{k, 1} \cong \mathrm{~F} \ell(k, k+2, k+4)$, and $\mathcal{A}_{k, \ell} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{~F} \ell(k, k+2, k+4)^{\ell}$, from which it inherits a cyclic Bruhat decomposition. Now there are $k$ pipes labeled $V$, and 2 pipes labeled each of $1, \ldots, \ell$, that can lie along one another (but not along $V$-pipes). If we don't bother drawing the remaining pipes, we get pipe dreams like this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{V}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & * & 1 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& L_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
* & * & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
* & * & 1 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& L_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
* & * & * & 0 & * \\
* & * & * & 0 & *
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



## Interval rank flag strata and IF pipe dreams.

If we only study intervals $[i, j] \subseteq[n]$ of columns, rather than cyclic intervals, we get a coarser decomposition into IF strata, indexed by triangular (rather than periodic) IF pipe dreams. It still is finer than the Richardson stratification.
Associated to an IF pipe dream $\mathcal{J}$ are two permutations $\pi$ and $\sigma$, from the lists of pipes crossed across the North side and then down the East side.
Theorems. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be an IF pipe dream, and $\pi$ and $\sigma$ as above.

- $X(\mathcal{J})_{\circ}$ is nonempty, smooth, and irreducible. - $X(\mathcal{J}) \circ \subseteq X_{\pi-1}^{\sigma^{-1}}$
- $\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathrm{X}(\mathcal{J}) 。 \subseteq \mathrm{X}^{\sigma^{-1}}\right)=$ \#vertical tiles. $\operatorname{codim}\left(X(\mathcal{J}) 。 \subseteq X_{\pi^{-1}}\right)=$ \#horizontal tiles (equivalent to the previous).

Not everything is great: the same counterexample still works to show that this coarser decomposition is not a stratification.
David Speyer and I are trying to relate this decomposition to Deodhar's.
On the Grassmannian, this was the stratification I used in arXiv:1408.1261 to extend Vakil's "geometric Littlewood-Richardson rule" to equivariant K-theory. On there, though, it was coarser than the projected Richardson stratification.

## Towards a geometric L-R rule for IF pipe dreams.

I defined the geometric shift $Ш_{\alpha}$ of $X \subseteq P \backslash G$ as $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(t e_{\alpha}\right) \cdot X$, connecting a construction from Vakil with Erdős-Ko-Rado combinatorial shifting.
Here, $\exp \left(t e_{\alpha}\right) \cdot$ means adding $t$ times column $i$ to column $j$, and taking the limit; the rank conditions on column $j$ thereby move backwards to column $i$.
Vakil gave a list of shifts to apply to (initially Richardson, eventually Schubert) varieties in $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{n})$. His list rasters the rows of the pipe dream bottom to top, and right to left within rows; we indicate his $\{(i, j)\}$ below at yellow tiles.
If the corners of a yellow tile have $\mathrm{NW}<\mathrm{SE}$, the shift switches those sets (and otherwise does nothing). The resulting array of subsets may be combinatorially illegal, reflecting the geometry that $\amalg_{i \rightarrow j} \overline{X(\mathcal{J})}$ has become reducible.


This is the calculation $\left[X_{213}\right]\left[X^{312}\right]=\left[X_{231}\right]+\left[X_{312}\right]$ (don't forget the inverting!). The main holdup: what does $\amalg_{i \rightarrow j}$ do to the equations-from-inequations?

